

**The Oversight Committee
For
Implementation of the Second Amended Interlocal
Agreement for Public School Facility Planning, Broward
County, Florida**

**ANNUAL STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF
THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING**

JANUARY – DECEMBER 2012

April 10, 2013

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
A. Introduction	1
B. Report Summary	1
C. Conclusion	5
D. Status Report on Implementation of the Agreement January 2012 – December 2012	6

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A:	2012 Staff Working Group Meeting Attendance Sheet
Attachment B:	List Depicting Action by Local Government Regarding Inclusion of School Board Representative on Local Planning Agency
Attachment C:	Reviewed Residential Land Use Plan Amendments and Rezoning Applications with Increased Density, School Year 2012/2013
Attachment D:	Approval/Effective Dates Regarding Public School Concurrency
Attachment E-1:	Broward County Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPAs), Plats and Site Plans
Attachment E-2:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPAs), Plats and Site Plan Applications
Attachment F:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Vested Site Plans
Attachment G-1:	List of County Residential Plats Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination
Attachment G-2:	List of Residential Site Plans Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination

A. INTRODUCTION

In compliance with state law, the Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning (ILA) was initially entered into by The School Board of Broward County, Florida (School Board), the Broward County Commission, and 26 Municipalities in Broward County in 2003, and became effective that same year. The purpose of the Agreement was to address the coordination of growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities in Broward County. Since then, the Agreement has been entered into by another Municipality; therefore, the Agreement is currently between the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and 27 Municipalities. Subsequently, the Agreement has been amended twice; once to incorporate Public School Concurrency (PSC) provisions in 2008, and in 2010, to include the utilization of portable capacity in addition to the then existing utilization of permanent capacity (and when combined, are commonly referred to as gross capacity) to calculate the Level of Service Standard (LOS) during the implementation of PSC.

Consistent with state law, the Amended ILA is overseen by a fifteen (15) member Oversight Committee that consists of School Board Members, County Commissioner(s), Municipal elected officials, and community stakeholders; five each appointed by the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and the 27 Municipalities through the Broward League of Cities. The Committee meets quarterly each calendar year to conduct public meetings regarding implementation of the Amended ILA and other related matters, and during one of the quarterly meetings, issues the Annual Report required by the Amended Agreement to the School Board, Broward County, the 27 Municipalities and the general public regarding the successes and failures of implementation of the Amended Agreement in the preceding calendar year.

The Amended ILA consists of fifteen (15) Articles. However, this Report only examines thirteen (13) pertinent Articles of the Agreement which contains seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. The Articles are as follows: Joint Meetings; Student Enrollment and Population Projections; Coordination and Sharing of Information; School Site Selection, Significant Renovations and Potential School Site Closures; Supporting Infrastructure; Plan Review, Consistency Determination; Public School Concurrency; Collocation and Shared Use; Resolution of Disputes, Oversight Process; Effective Date and Term, and Amendment Procedures.

Additionally, this Report indicates that in 2012, the signatories to the Amended Agreement successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. However, the Report flags **one (1) of the seventy-seven (77) specific requirements as an area that needs resolution.**

The one area indicates that some Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and Land Development Regulations (LDR) to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. (Subsection 8.2(a) and see Attachment "D")

B. REPORT SUMMARY

Results of the coordination between the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities regarding compliance with the requirements of the thirteen (13) specific Articles of the Agreement and the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements are delineated below.

Article II: Joint Meetings

Subsection 2.1 of this Article requires the Staff Working Group (SWG) which consists of staff representatives of the signatories to the Agreement to meet at least annually to address growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities. However, to ensure that pertinent issues are adequately addressed, the SWG's By-Laws require the SWG to meet quarterly. School Board and Broward County staff representatives and representatives from the Cities of Hallandale Beach, Margate, Miramar, North Lauderdale, Oakland Park, Pembroke Park, Plantation, and Sunrise attended all four meetings. Only 4 Municipalities did not attend any meetings in 2012. However, the signatories satisfied the provisions of Article II.

Article III: Student Enrollment and Population Projections

The School District made available its 2013/14-2017/18 Five-year student enrollment projections on the District's website. As a result of the 2012 Population Roundtable Working Group, a collaboration of the County, Municipalities, School Board and other stakeholders, Broward County finalized its population forecasts. This effort included updating the county-wide and municipal and small area forecasts using the University of Florida Bureau of Economic Business Research (BEBR) forecast model based on the 2010 Census data. The Broward County 2015 to 2040 population forecasts were provided to the SWG at the December 2012 regularly scheduled meeting. Thus, the signatories satisfied the provisions of this Article.

Article IV: Coordination and Sharing of Information

The Superintendent provided the tentative 2012/13 - 2016/17 District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with their comprehensive plans, and included schools scheduled for renovations in the Plan.

Also, the District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on May 27, 2009, and approved by the School Board on July 22, 2009. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey continue to serve as validation of the projects contained in the currently adopted Five-Year DEFP which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. Update of the next Plant Survey will be conducted in fiscal year 2014/2015.

Additionally, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District, and the County provided the list of approved residential plats and adopted land use plan amendments to the Superintendent. Therefore, the signatories complied with the provisions of the Article.

Article V: School Site Selection, Significant Renovations, and Potential School Site Closures

The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2012. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned or scheduled in 2012. Furthermore, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2012/13 - 2016/17 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities. The signatories satisfied the provisions of Article V.

Article VI: Supporting Infrastructure

The School District continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. School District staff conducts Design Review Committee Meetings on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases and needs and ideas are communicated at these meetings. Also, these meetings are open to various governmental agencies. The School Board requires that Master Plans be developed for all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions, and these Plans were presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governmental agencies and Municipal officials. Discussions were held during 2012 with representatives of the District's Facilities Department and the Staff Working Group which resulted in recommendations to improve communication between the District and the Municipalities on School Board construction activities regarding major School District projects. Thus, the provisions of Article VI were satisfied.

Article VII: Plan Review; Consistency Determination

The School District continues to participate in Broward County land use plan amendment and platting processes, and other growth management issues. The twenty-seven (27) Municipalities have taken action to include a School Board representative on their Local Planning Agency (LPA). (Subsection 7.2, see Attachment "B"). In 2012, School Board representatives received notices from some Municipalities regarding LPA meetings at which the agency was considering applications that would increase residential density and attended those meetings when appropriate. (Subsection 7.2)

In 2012, staff reviewed six residential land use plan amendments (LUPAs) and no rezoning applications that increased density (see Attachment "C"). The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. However, the annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge and which were reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-20, a middle school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-4, and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the residential plats associated with LUPA PC 10-20 (Bruschi Plat) and LUPA PC 10-4 (Triple "H" Plat), and were accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. The binding agreements for formal conveyance of the sites were approved and executed by the property owners and the City of Parkland City Commission on July 11, 2012, the School Board on August 7, 2012, and the Broward County Commission on August 28, 2012 (Subsections 7.3 and 7.9).

The appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council (BCPC) meetings. In 2012, the District reviewed one non-residential LUPA application, one non-residential rezoning, 50 plat applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings. The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications were classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review". Also, Broward County and the Municipalities considered issues listed in Subsection 7.10 of the Agreement when reviewing comprehensive plans and rezoning applications, and provided workshop notices regarding community development plans to District staff. In 2012, School District staff attended two community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County. Thus, the provisions of Article VII were satisfied by the signatories.

Article VIII: Public School Concurrency

This Article requires that the County and Municipalities shall ensure that the applications for residential plat or site plan (or their functional equivalent) applications are complete, and the Public School Impact Applications (PSIA) pertaining to the applications are transmitted to the School District for review. This process is to ensure that capacity is available at Broward County Public Schools before such applications are approved and subsequently issued a building permit by the local governments. Subsequently, the County and Municipalities are required to provide quarterly reports to the School District regarding the approval or denial of the reviewed applications. The majority of the provisions of Article VIII were satisfied by the signatories. However, data indicates that some Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. **Therefore, Subsection 8.2(a) of the Article needs resolution.**

Article IX: Collocation and Shared Use

This Article encourages the School Board and local governments to, during preparation of the Five-Year DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, collaborate on collocating school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities. The Article also outlines processes to address the provision of the collocation and shared use facilities information. The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities through their staff representatives on the SWG continue to participate in efforts to provide each other with the information on potential collocation facilities. The signatories satisfied the provisions of Article IX.

Article X: Resolution of Disputes

This Article outlines how disputes between the signatories regarding the Amended Agreement should be resolved. However, since the inception of the Agreement, no dispute has arisen between the signatories.

Article XI: Oversight Process

This Article authorized the creation of the Oversight Committee. In 2012, the School Board reappointed three and appointed one new Member of its representatives to the Committee; the Broward County Commission reappointed one of its representatives, and the Municipalities via the Broward League of Cities reappointed one of its representatives to the Committee. Thus, the signatories met the requirements of Article XI.

Article XII: Special Provisions

The evaluation of this Article is not necessary.

Article XIII: Effective Date and Term

In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended

Agreement. The School Board, Broward County and 23 Municipalities approved the Agreement on the dates depicted in Attachment "D". Therefore, the requirements of Article XIII were met by the signatories.

C. CONCLUSION

The School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities during the period from January through December 2012 successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Amended ILA, but did not comply with one (1) specific measurable requirement. The specific area is reflected in Attachment "D" and pertains to the fact that certain Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. **Therefore, the cited specific area needs resolution.** It should be noted that since adoption of the Second Amended ILA in 2010, this item has remained an unresolved issue. However, the Municipalities continue to make progress on taking the necessary steps to achieve compliance with this Section of the Second Amended ILA. Until this issue is fully resolved, the Staff Working Group efforts to address this issue will continue.

In conclusion, resolution of the one (1) area cited in this Annual Report may further the successful implementation of the Second Amended ILA in 2012.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
JOINT MEETINGS 2.1 - Hold annual Staff Working Group (SWG) meetings.	Quarterly	Consistently attended by School Board representatives.	Consistently attended by Broward County representatives.	Quorum was met at every regularly scheduled meeting. Attachment "A" depicts representatives that attended meetings and those that did not attend meetings in the period covered by this Annual Report.
2.2 - The SWG shall prepare an annual assessment report on the effectiveness of public school concurrency (PSC).	Annually by December 31 of each year.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2012 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Second Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2012 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Second Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2012 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Second Amended ILA.
STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND POPULATION PROJECTIONS 3.1 - School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to coordinate and base plans upon consistent projections of population and student enrollment. Provide five-year student enrollment and countywide population projections to SWG.	Annually, September of each year.	2013/14-2017/18 five-year student enrollment projections were distributed on the Demographics & Student Assignments Web site in October following the 20th day enrollment count (9/18/12).	As a result of the 2012 Population Roundtable Working Group, a collaboration of the County, municipalities, School Board and other stakeholders, Broward County has finalized its population forecasts, including updating the county-wide and municipal and small area forecasts using the University of Florida Bureau of Economic Business Research (BEER) forecast model based on the 2010 Census data. The Broward County 2015 to 2040 population forecasts were made available in August/September 2012. The forecasts were provided to the SWG at the December 2012 regularly scheduled meeting.	The Municipalities review projections when they are available.
3.2 - Superintendent to use student population projections provided by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conference and development trends data provided by the local governments during preparation of student enrollment projections.	Ongoing	Each year, staff prepares student enrollment projections based on a variety of factors. Such factors are, but not limited to, the demographic, revenue and education estimating conference, and forecasted Certificates of Occupancy supplied by each local government.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
3.3 - Broward County to provide population projections to verify geographic distribution of countywide public school student projections.	Ongoing	The School District reviewed the projections at the December SWG Meeting.	As a result of the 2012 Population Roundtable Working Group, a collaboration of the County, Municipalities, School Board and other stakeholders, Broward County finalized population forecasts, including updating the county-wide and municipal and small area forecasts using the University of Florida Bureau of Economic Business Research (BEBR) forecast model based on the 2010 Census data. The Broward County 2015 to 2040 population forecasts were completed in August/September 2012. The forecasts were provided to the SWG at the December 2012 regularly scheduled meeting.	The Municipalities reviewed the projections at the December SWG Meeting.
COORDINATION AND SHARING OF INFORMATION 4.1 - Commencing no later than July 30, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Superintendent shall submit the tentative District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with the local government comprehensive plan.	Annually, July of each year.	The tentative DEFP was provided to Broward County and Municipalities on July 17, 2012. In the correspondence, the entities were advised to share the information with their elected officials and provide necessary comments to District staff. Municipalities were advised of the Public Hearing on July 31, 2012 and were encouraged to attend or provide feedback.	Broward County received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.	Municipalities received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.
4.2 - Include schools scheduled for renovations in the tentative DEFP.	Annually	The School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2012/13 - 2016/17 tentative DEFP.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
4.3 - Coordinate development of the Five-Year Educational Plant Survey with the SWG.	Once in five years.	The current District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on 5/27/09, and approved by the School Board on 7/22/09. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the tentative and subsequent adopted District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. The next Plant Survey will be updated in fiscal year 2014/2015.	N/A	N/A
4.4 - Commencing August 31, 2007 and annually thereafter, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities shall provide the Superintendent with a report on growth and development trends within their jurisdiction.	Annually, by August 31 of each year.	Staff coordinated the collection of five-year municipal Certificate of Occupancy data with the County, and received the development trends report from the County and Municipalities for 2012. For 2012, the Demographics & Student Assignments Department and County staff collected the development trends report from all of the 27 Municipalities for the annual August 31st deadline.	The County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District.	The Municipalities in conjunction with the County provided growth and development trends data to the School District.
4.5 - Quarterly, the County to provide a list of residential plats approved by the Broward County Commission during the preceding quarter to the Superintendent.	Quarterly	The School District continually receives the list of approved residential plats provided by Broward County.	As applicable, Broward County consistently provided this information to the School District on a monthly basis.	N/A
4.6 - The County to provide a list of land use plan amendments adopted or denied by the Broward County Commission to the Superintendent.	Periodically, no later than the 15th day of each month	The School District continually receives the list of adopted or denied land use plan amendments provided by the Broward County Planning Council.	As applicable, Broward County Planning Council consistently provided the information to the School District.	N/A
SCHOOL SITE SELECTION, SIGNIFICANT RENOVATIONS, AND POTENTIAL SCHOOL SITE CLOSURES				
5.1 - School Board staff to review potential sites for new schools, closure of existing schools and significant renovations consistent with School Board Policy 5000. Include the recommendations in the DEFP.	Annually	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2012.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
5.2 - Site Review Committee to submit a list of potential new schools, closure of existing schools and renovations to local governments for an informal consistency review with the comprehensive plan.	Periodically	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2012. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2012. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2012/13 - 2016/17 tentative DEFP that were provided to Broward County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
Expand the Superintendent's Site Review Committee to include a permanent local government representative and a floating member. Amend School Board Policy 7000 to list membership of the Committee.	As necessary	In 2004, School Board Policy 7000 was amended to include all representatives in accordance with provisions of the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and subsequently amended in 2008 to include additional representatives.	Broward County is represented on the Site Review Committee.	The current Municipal permanent representative to the Site Review Committee is former Mayor Debby Eisinger of Cooper City.
5.3 - The Superintendent to coordinate site plan information for new schools with affected local governments in accordance with state statutes.	As necessary	Site plan information is shared with affected local governments during School Board Design Review Committee (DRC) meetings. These meetings are conducted by School District staff during the schematic and design development phases of all new school facilities. In the year 2012 there were no new schools in the schematic and design development phase for city review.	N/A	N/A
5.4 - Pursuant to Section 1013.33(11), at least 60 days prior to acquisition or leasing information of property for new public educational facility, Superintendent to provide written notice to pertinent local government. Local government to provide comments within 45 days indicating plans consistency with local government's land use and comprehensive plan to the Superintendent.	As necessary	The School Board acquired an elementary and middle school site via dedication in The Wedge area of the City of Parkland. At least 60 days before conveyance of the sites to the School Board the City confirmed via execution of the "School Site Dedication and Impact Fee Agreement School Site Dedication #1" and "School Site Dedication and Impact Fee Agreement School Site Dedication #2" that the location of the sites are consistent with the City Land Use and Comprehensive Plan.	N/A	N/A
5.5 - If a local government determines that a proposed school site is consistent with the comprehensive plan pursuant to this Agreement, or at any other time when such a determination is made, the School Board shall follow the procedures contained in Section 1013.33(12), F.S., as may be amended. If a local government determines that the proposed school site is inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, the School Board may request a plan amendment consistent with the local government's plan amendment procedures and requirements.	As necessary	As stated above, the City of Parkland confirmed that the elementary and middle school sites are consistent with its Comprehensive Plan.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE 6.1 - The School Board and affected local governments will jointly determine the need for and timing of on-site and off-site improvements to public facilities necessary to support each new school or proposed significant renovation.</p>	As necessary	The School Board continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. An appropriate forum for communicating needs and ideas occurs at the Design Review Committee Meeting which is conducted by School District staff on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans should be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions. The Master Plan is presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governing agencies and Municipal officials. In 2012 at the request of the Staff Working Group, changes in the reporting of our design and construction activity was implemented. The city planners were additionally copied on all notices to proceed (NTP) for major construction projects that were defined as "other than routine maintenance".	The County continues to work closely with the School Board, Municipalities and developers.	The Municipalities continue to work closely with the School Board, the County and developers.
<p>PLAN REVIEWS; CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION 7.1 - School Board to appoint representatives to sit on Broward County and pertinent municipal local planning agency (LPA).</p>	Immediately	The Signatories of the Amended ILA were sent written notification regarding the appointed School Board's representative to Broward County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
<p>7.2 - Local governments to take action to include School Board representatives on LPA and enable the representatives to attend meetings at which the LPA considers comprehensive plan amendments and rezoning applications that would increase residential density.</p>	Immediately	In 2012, School Board representatives attended one LPA meeting in Tamarac to address school-related issues. However, no other meetings were attended in Broward County or in any Municipality either because: (i) the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, (ii) because the County or Municipalities did not provide written notice requesting the Board representative to attend the meetings, (iii) or because the County or Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance.	Broward County took action on 8/5/03 to include a School Board representative on the County's LPA. In 2012, the County did not request School Board representative(s) to attend any LPA meetings. This is because the County has not had any LPA meetings that necessitated School Board representative's attendance.	To date, 27 of the 28 Municipalities listed on the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. However, it should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake being the 28th Municipality has, thus far, not signed the Agreement.
<p>7.3 - Broward County and Municipalities agree to provide to the Superintendent, rezoning and comprehensive plan amendment applications that will increase residential density. The Superintendent shall review the applications and provide a report indicating anticipated student impact to the local government. The County and Municipalities shall provide deadline for receiving comments from the Superintendent, however, the deadline shall be no less than 45 days from the date the information is provided. The County and Municipalities will provide written quarterly reports to the Superintendent when the application receives final approval.</p>	Quarterly	In 2012, staff reviewed six residential land use plan amendments (LUPAs) and no rezoning applications that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the project. (See Attachment "C").	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding LUPA applications that were reviewed by the Broward County Planning Council. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	The LUPA applications reviewed by the District in 2012 were located in the Town of Davie and Cities of Coconut Creek, Coral Springs and Parkland.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.4 - School Board to continue participation in the Broward County land use plan amendment review process.	Ongoing	In 2012, the appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.5 - School Board to continue to review non-residential development and other pertinent development applications that may affect school properties, and as necessary participate on other growth management issues.	Ongoing	In 2012, the District reviewed one non-residential LUPA application, one non-residential rezoning, 50 plat applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.6 - Broward County and Municipalities to provide public notice of land use and comprehensive plan amendments, rezonings, development of regional impact applications and other residential or mixed-use projects with residential component pending before them that may affect student enrollment, projections and school facilities to the Superintendent. Notice to be provided at the same time as provided to the public under County or Municipal ordinance.	Ongoing	N/A	As applicable, Broward County complied with this requirement in 2012.	As applicable, a majority of the Municipalities complied with this requirement in 2012.
7.7 - The review of LUPA and rezoning applications by the Superintendent shall be classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review", and applicants may delineate the residential type, units and bedroom mix of the project if known; if not specified, the review shall be based upon the maximum student generation rates for that residential type.	Ongoing	The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications complied with the requirements of this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
7.8 - Written comments provided by the Superintendent to the County and Municipalities regarding the "Public Schools Consistency Review" will specify the anticipated student impact, capacity status of affected schools, depict ten year student enrollment projects by planning area, planned capacity improvements, identify available alternatives, and state that the proposed development will be subject to public school concurrency review at the time of plat and site plan review.	Ongoing	At the minimum, the reports issued for "Public Schools Consistency Review" projects in 2012 contained all the information required by this Subsection.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>7.9 - If the "Public Schools Consistency Review" indicates that capacity is not available at the impacted school(s), or anticipated in the District Educational Facilities Plan, the applicant may choose to offer, and the School Board may consider the voluntary mitigation to address the anticipated impact. The voluntary mitigation shall be limited to the options listed in this Subsection.</p>	Immediately	<p>The annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge and reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-20, a middle school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-4, and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the plats associated with LUPA PC 10-20 (Bruschi Plat) and LUPA PC 10-4 (Triple "H" Plat), and accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. Also, the binding agreements for formal conveyance of the sites were approved and executed by the property owners and the City of Parkland City Commission on July 11, 2012, the School Board on August 7, 2012, and the County on August 28, 2012. However, the owners of other LUPA and rezoning applications with increased density that were reviewed by the School District did not propose any voluntary mitigation.</p>	N/A	N/A
<p>7.10 - Broward County and Municipalities may consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School Board comments when reviewing comprehensive plan and rezoning applications.</p>	Ongoing	N/A	Broward County as appropriate considers issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.	The Municipalities as appropriate consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.
<p>7.11 - County and Municipalities to provide notice to the Superintendent to enable the District to participate and provide comments in workshops regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities.</p>	As necessary	In 2012, School District staff attended two community development plan workshops regarding the role of public school facilities in the development of the Regional Seven50 Plan.	In 2012, the County did not provide any notice to School District staff regarding community development plans workshops that may affect public school facilities.	In 2012, no Municipalities provided notice to School District staff to attend community development plans workshops that may affect public school facilities.
<p>PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY 8.1 Required Elements of Public School Concurrency 8.1(a) - The amendments to Public School Facilities Element (PSFE) and related amendments to the Capital Improvement Element (CIE) and the Intergovernmental Coordination Element (ICE) in the County and Municipal comprehensive plans to satisfy Sections 163.3177 and 163.3180 F.S. are being adopted into the comprehensive plans of the County and Municipalities concurrently with the execution of the Amended ILA by the County and municipalities.</p>	Immediately	N/A	The County complied with this Subsection on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	The Municipalities have established PSC management systems within their jurisdictions, and the date the Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and land development codes to address the provisions of the Second Amended ILA are depicted in Attachment "D".

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.1(b) - The experience under the revised comprehensive plans and the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP shall be reviewed each year by the County and Municipalities at the SWG meeting to determine whether updates to the comprehensive plans are required. The Five-Year DEFP shall be updated annually to add a new fifth year. Any other amendments to the comprehensive plans shall be transmitted in time to allow their adoption concurrently with update to the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP.</p>	<p>Annually by March 31</p>	<p>The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 5, 2012, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2012.</p>	<p>The County received and reviewed the Five-Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.</p>	<p>The Municipalities received and reviewed the Five-Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.</p>
<p>8.1(c) - School related amendments shall be provided to the School Board at least 60 days prior to transmittal or adoption if no transmittal is required, unless adopting school-related amendments that are identical to Broward County, then they shall be provided at least 1 month prior to the Local Planning Agency (LPA) meeting. The School Board shall review the amendments and provide comments in writing if any, to the local government either (i) at least one week prior to the LPA meeting on the amendment, or (ii) by attending and providing comments at the LPA meeting.</p>	<p>At least 60 days prior to transmittal or one month prior to LPA meeting, as applicable</p>	<p>Broward County did not hold an LPA meeting because it did not amend its Public School Facilities Element policies. Additionally in 2012, District staff reviewed comprehensive plan amendments for the Municipalities of Davie, Deerfield Beach, Pembroke Pines, Pompano Beach and Tamarac.</p>	<p>Broward County's comprehensive plan amendments that were needed to be consistent with the provisions of the Second Amended ILA were approved by the Broward County Commission on March 27, 2012.</p>	<p>In 2012, the Municipalities of Davie, Deerfield Beach, Pembroke Pines, Pompano Beach and Tamarac sent District staff comprehensive plan amendments to review.</p>
<p>8.1(d) - The County and Municipalities school-related element provisions must be consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies. Municipalities may choose to adopt all or a portion of the County's school-related element provisions by reference, or it may adopt its own provisions. If a Municipality adopts its own provisions, any goal, objective, policy or other provision relevant to the establishment and maintenance of a uniform district-wide school concurrency system shall be substantially the same as its counter part in the County and Municipalities comprehensive plans.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>The County's School Related Amendments are consistent with those of the Municipalities and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.</p>	<p>Municipalities' School Related Amendments reviewed by the School District are consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>If any school-related element amendment is proposed that affects the uniform district-wide school concurrency system, it shall not become effective in accordance with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. Municipalities and the County may adopt the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP either by reference or by restatement of the relevant portions of the adopted Five-Year DEFP, but the Municipalities and the County shall not attempt to modify the adopted Five-Year DEFP. To the extent feasible, the County and Municipalities agree to coordinate the timing of approval of the amendments.</p>		<p>In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement.</p>	<p>Broward County approved the Second Amended ILA.</p>	<p>Twenty-three Municipalities approved the Second Amended ILA in 2010.</p>
<p>8.1(e) - In addition to the other coordination procedures provided for in this Amended Interlocal Agreement, at the time of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), the County and Municipalities shall schedule at least one (1) SWG meeting with the School Board to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.</p>	<p>At time of the EAR</p>	<p>The Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) process was a regularly scheduled agenda item at the quarterly Staff Working Group Meetings in 2012.</p>	<p>Potential EAR issues are consistently placed on the regular SWG agenda, and the County coordinates with the School District on any needed updates.</p>	<p>Potential EAR issues are consistently placed on the regular SWG agenda, and the Municipalities coordinate with the School District and the County on any needed updates.</p>
<p>8.2 Specific Responsibilities (a) Broward County and the Municipalities, within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments in accordance with this Amended Agreement becoming effective shall amend their respective Land Development Codes (LDC) and adopt the required public school concurrency (PSC) provisions, consistent with the requirements of this Amended Agreement. Such amendment shall include the public school concurrency management system outlining the development review process for proposed residential developments.</p>	<p>Within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments becoming effective</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Broward County amended its comprehensive plan and LDC to adopt PSC provisions regarding the 100% gross LOS.</p>	<p>Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and LDC's to adopt PSC provisions regarding the 100% gross LOS.</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(b) Broward County and the Municipalities, in accordance with the Amended ILA shall: 1.) Not approve or issue any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) that is not exempted or vested pursuant to Subsection 8.11 of this Amended ILA until the District has reported that the school concurrency requirement has been satisfied. 2.) Maintain data for approved residential development that was the subject of PSC review. The data shall be provided to the District in a quarterly report after final approval of the application by the governing body, and must include information stated in this Subsection. 3.) Transmit residential plats and site plans (or their functional equivalents) and proposed amendments to such applications to the District for review and comment, consistent with Subsection 8.13 of this Amended ILA. 4.) Commencing August 31, 2007, and annually thereafter as a part of the growth and development trend required by Subsection 4.4, provide the total number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy to the School Board.</p>	Ongoing	Attachments "G-1" and "G-2" represent written notice received by the District regarding formal action taken by Broward County and Municipalities on the residential plats, site plans and (functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the District.	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities. The Attachment also depicts the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>(c) The School Board shall do the following: 1.) Annually prepare and update its adopted Five-Year DEFP, which for the purposes of PSC shall be considered the financially feasible Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. The Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan shall reflect the capacity needed to meet the adopted level of service standard (LOS) for each District elementary, middle and high school, during the five year period, but no later than the fifth year of the Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. 2.) Establish a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each District elementary, middle and high school and to ensure that the schools are operating at or below the adopted LOS.</p>	Ongoing	The School Board held a public hearing on September 5, 2012, to adopt the Five-Year DEFP. Additionally, the District has established a process to ensure the maximum utilization of capacity at each elementary, middle and high school, provided school related data to the County and Municipalities regarding update of their comprehensive plans, maintains data regarding capacity availability at elementary, middle and high schools, and established a mechanism for the review of proportionate share mitigation.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) 3.) Commencing October 15, 2009, and annually thereafter, provide the County and Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. 4.) Review proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications for compliance with PSC requirements. 5.) As a component of the District's PSC management system, maintain data regarding available capacity at the District's elementary, middle and high school within each CSA after factoring the student impact anticipated from the proposed residential development into the database. 6.) Review proposed proportionate share mitigation options for new residential development, and determine acceptability of such mitigation options. 7.) Prior to the effective date of PSC, amend School Board Policy 1161 to incorporate PSC provisions and delineate the District's PSC management system. 8.) As necessary, amend the DEFP to incorporate funds accepted as proportionate share mitigation.	Ongoing	On October 15, 2012, the District provided Broward County and the Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. Plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed in 2012 for PSC determinations are depicted in Attachment "G-1" and "G-2". The District also updated periodically and published, the "Public School Concurrency Planning Document" (PSCPD), which is used to maintain data regarding available capacity at each elementary, middle and high school after factoring the student impact anticipated from proposed residential developments.	N/A	N/A
8.3 Adopted School Board DEFP (a) Same requirement as Subsection 8.2(c)(1)	Annually, on or before September 30th.	Same as above	N/A	N/A
(b) At the minimum, the adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall specify all new construction, expansion and remodeling, which will add permanent capacity to elementary, middle and high schools, and also include information specified in Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 05, 2012, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2012.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall include a description of each school project, a listing of funds to be spent in each fiscal year for the planning, preparation, land acquisition, and the actual construction and remodeling of each pertinent school project which adds capacity or modernizes existing facilities; the amount of capacity added, if any; and a generalized location map for planned new schools. Such location maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 05, 2012, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2012.	N/A	N/A
(d) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall identify the five-year projected student enrollment, permanent capacity and utilization percentage of all elementary, middle and high schools.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 05, 2012, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2012.	N/A	N/A
(e) The adopted school boundaries for each elementary, middle and high school, as annually conducted by the School Board shall also become the adopted concurrency service area (as referenced in Section 8.8), and shall be consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP. The school boundaries maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The adopted school boundaries are consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.4 Transmittal (a) In addition to the provisions pertaining to the Tentative District Educational Facilities Plan as delineated in Article IV of this Amended Agreement, the School Board, upon completion and adoption of the Five-Year DEFP, shall make the DEFP available to the Local Governments no later than thirty (30) days after adoption of the District Educational Facilities Plan.</p>	<p>No later than 30 days after adoption</p>	<p>The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 05, 2012, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2012.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>8.5 Comprehensive Plans - Development, Adoption and Amendment of the Capital Improvements Elements (a) Upon adoption of the Five-Year DEFP and transmittal to Local Governments, the County and Municipalities shall adopt the School Board's Five-Year "Adopted DEFP" or applicable sections of the Adopted DEFP as a part of the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of their comprehensive plans.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>As applicable, Broward County will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.</p>	<p>As applicable, Municipalities will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.</p>
<p>(b) Any amendment, correction or modification to the adopted Five-Year DEFP concerning costs, revenue sources, or acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedications or proportionate share mitigation, once adopted by the School Board, shall be transmitted by the School District to the County and Municipalities within forty-five (45) days after the adoption. The County and Municipalities shall amend their CIE to reflect the changes consistent with the annual update required by the State to their CIE. Such amendments may be accomplished by ordinance, and shall not be considered amendments to the comprehensive plan, pursuant to Section 163.3177 (6)(b)(1), Florida Statutes.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>No amendments have been made to the School Board's Five-Year DEFP since transmittal of the document to Broward County and the Municipalities.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p>		<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.6 Public School Concurrency Standard (a) The PSC standard requires Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board to maintain the adopted LOS for Broward County Public Schools. The PSC standard requires that all proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications containing residential units shall be reviewed to ensure that adequate school capacity will exist prior to or concurrent with the impact of the proposed residential development, to accommodate the additional student growth at the adopted LOS.</p>	Ongoing	Plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2012 are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2012 are depicted in Attachment "G-2". Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities. Also, the Attachment depicts the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>8.7 Commencement (a) PSC described in this Amended Agreement shall commence upon the comprehensive plan amendments related to the PSFE by the County and Municipalities becoming effective, and the execution of this Amended Agreement by the parties identified herein. However, PSC shall commence no earlier than February 1, 2008.</p>	As applicable to the entity	In compliance with Subsection 8.2(c)(7), the School Board amended and adopted School Board Policy 1161 on January 15, 2008 and commenced implementation of PSC on February 1, 2008. Subsequently, the School Board incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into Policy 1161 and adopted the amended Policy on November 9, 2010.	PSC is currently effective in Broward County. Subsequently, the County incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into its comprehensive plan, and the date the amended comprehensive plan became effective is depicted in Attachment "D".	PSC is currently effective in the Municipalities. Subsequently, the Municipalities incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into their comprehensive plans, and the date the amended comprehensive plan became effective in each Municipality is depicted in Attachment "D".
<p>8.8 Concurrency Service Areas EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) and (c) IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p>8.9 Adoption of Concurrency Service Areas (a) Adoption of the CSA's shall be as delineated in School Board Policy 5000 to be amended consistent with the Amended Agreement, and as may be amended from time to time.</p>	Ongoing	As required, the adoption of the CSA's are delineated in School Board Policy 5000. On March 28, 2012, the School Board adopted the 2012/13 effective CSAs for elementary, middle, and high schools.	N/A	N/A
<p>(b) No later than forty-five (45) days after adoption of the CSAs, the School District shall transmit the new CSAs to the County and Municipalities. The County and Municipalities shall incorporate the adopted "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" and the School Board's process for modification of the CSA's contained in the "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of their Comprehensive Plans.</p>	Ongoing	In March of 2012, the School Board adopted the 2012/13 effective CSA's for elementary, middle and high school boundaries, and they were transmitted to the County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.10 Level of Service Standard EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p>8.11 Exemptions and Vested Developments (a) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be exempt from the requirements of PSC: 1. All residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) which generate less than one student in the relevant CSA. 2. Any amendment to or replat of a residential plat or amendment to a residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which generates less than one additional student. (The former and latter developments shall be subject to the payment of school impact fees). 3. Any age restricted community with no permanent residents under the age of eighteen (18). Exemption for an aged restricted community shall only be available subject to a recorded Restrictive Covenant limiting the age of all permanent residents to eighteen (18) years and older. 4. As may otherwise be exempted by Florida Statutes.</p>	Ongoing	The list of the residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications that were submitted to the School District in 2012, and reviewed by the District to determine that they met this Subsection, are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2012 are depicted in Attachment "G-2". Also, Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities. Also, the Attachment depicts the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>(b) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be vested from the requirements of PSC: 1. Any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) located within a previously approved comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which is subject to a mitigation agreement in accordance with the following: (i.) The mitigation to address the impact of the new students anticipated from the development has been accepted by the School Board consistent with School Board Policy 1161, and; (ii.) A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant has been properly executed and recorded by the Developer or the development is located within a boundary area that is subject to an executed and recorded triparty agreement consistent with School Board Policy 1161 as may be amended from time to time. 2. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) that has received final approval, which has not expired prior to the effective date of public school concurrency.</p>	Ongoing	In 2012, the School District reviewed 19 applications that met the provisions of this Subsection. These applications are included in the list of reviewed residential projects contained in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Same as above

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(b) 3. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which is included within a residential plat or development agreement for which school impacts have been satisfied for the dwelling units included in the proposed site plan (or functional equivalent). Information regarding each residential site plan (or functional equivalent) shall be transmitted to the School District in a quarterly report. In the transmittal of such residential site plan (or functional equivalent) to the School District, the County or Municipality shall provide additional written information as required in the quarterly report to verify that the units in the application are vested. The County will provide the necessary information to the School Board and Municipalities to identify the vested plats and further specifics to be contained in the adopted land development regulations. As applicable, the Municipalities shall utilize the information provided by the County regarding the vested plat to complete information as required in the quarterly report.</p>	Ongoing	In 2012, the School District received a quarterly report from the City of Sunrise indicating that one site plan application was approved which met this Subsection. (See Attachment "F").	In 2012, all of the reports provided by the County to the School District indicated that no site plan applications vested under this Subsection were approved.	In 2012, one quarterly report from the City of Sunrise indicated that one site plan application vested under this Subsection was approved.
(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
<p>8.12 Public School Concurrency Management System SUBSECTIONS (a) and (b) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2 (a). SUBSECTION 8.12(c) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2(c)(7). THUS, EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.13 Review Process (a) Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board shall ensure that the LOS established for each school type and CSA is maintained. No residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application or amendments thereto shall be approved by the County or Municipalities, unless the residential development is exempt or vested from the requirements specified in Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement, or until a School Capacity Availability Determination Letter (SCAD) has been issued by the School District indicating that adequate capacity is available. This shall not limit the authority of a Local Government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent, pursuant to its home rule or governmental regulatory powers for reasons other than school capacity.</p>	Ongoing	Documentation regarding the achievement and maintenance of the adopted LOS by the School District is contained in the LOS Plan, which is a component of the School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP. Also, the plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2012 are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>(b) Any applicant submitting a plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application with a residential component that is not exempt or vested under Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement is subject to PSC and shall be required to submit a Public School Impact Application (PSIA) to the Local Government, for review by the School District including information called for in this Subsection.</p>	Ongoing	Same as above.	Same as above.	Same as above.
<p>(c) The Local Government shall ensure the applications for residential plat or site plans (or their functional equivalent) are complete and transmit them to the School District for review. Upon determination that the application is complete, the Local Government shall transmit the PSIA to the School District for review. This process does not preclude the Local Government from requiring that the applicant submit the PSIA directly to the School District for review.</p>	Ongoing	N/A	Same as above.	Same as above.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(d) The School District will review the properly submitted and completed PSIA and verify whether or not sufficient capacity is available at the impacted CSA to accommodate students anticipated from the proposed development. The process for review of the application shall be as follows:</p> <p>1. The School District shall review, on a first come, first serve basis, the completed PSIA. The SCAD Letter shall be sent to the applicant and the affected Local Government no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the PSIA. 2. Notification shall be provided to the applicant and affected Local Government if the application is incomplete.</p> <p>3. THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION.</p>	Ongoing	The SCAD Letters issued for the received/reviewed PSIA were transmitted to the applicant, and as applicable to Broward County and the Municipalities within the maximum 30-day review period.	N/A	N/A
<p>(e) Student Generation Rates Calculation The determination of students anticipated from a proposed PSIA shall be based on the utilization of the effective, adopted and pertinent student generation rates contained within the Broward County Land Development Code (BCLDC). Update of the student generation rates shall be conducted at least once every three (3) years by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities.</p>	Ongoing/Three Year Update	On June 15, 2011 the Oversight Committee voted and recommended that the School Board should not update the School Impact Fee Study for the next 24 months.	Broward County participated in the update of the current SGR.	Municipalities through the SWG participated in the update of the current SGR.
<p>(f) Utilization Determination EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (f)(1) and (2) IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>3. If it is determined that there is no capacity at the assigned school(s) as determined by the procedure described in Subsection 8.13(f)2 above because the projected growth from a residential development causes the adopted LOS to be exceeded in the subject CSA, the School District may, if practical, utilize pertinent options delineated in School Board Policy 5000, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time to ensure maximum utilization at the CSA. Otherwise, all of the CSA's immediately adjacent to the primary impacted CSA will be examined for available capacity before a determination letter is issued indicating that the development has satisfied PSC.</p>	Ongoing	<p>In 2012, the School District's Capacity Allocation Team (CAT) (the Group responsible for the allocation of available excess capacity from adjacent CSAs as called for in School Board Policy 1161) met three times to consider and allocate excess available capacity to two plat and two site plan applications reviewed by the District.</p>	N/A	N/A
<p>4. If necessary, the School District will reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization, except where such reassignment: (i.) Creates additional transportation cost impacts due to natural or physical barriers; or (ii.) Results in a violation of federal, State or School Board Policy.</p>	Ongoing	<p>In 2012, the School District did not reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization.</p>	N/A	N/A
<p>(g) Issuance and Term of Public School concurrency - EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p>8.14 Proportionate Share Mitigation (a) The School Board shall consider proportionate share mitigation pursuant to provisions of this Amended Agreement. Such consideration shall be consistent with the mitigation provisions outlined herein and delineated in School Board Policy 1161, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time, regarding PSC. If the proposed mitigation option is accepted and deemed financially feasible by the School Board, the applicant or Local Government shall enter into an enforceable and binding agreement.</p>	Ongoing	<p>The annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge Area and reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of the Bruschi Plat (which is associated with LUPA PC 10-20), a middle school site by the owner of the Triple "H" Plat (which is associated with LUPA PC 10-4), and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the Bruschi and Triple "H" Plats, and accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. Also, the binding agreement for formal conveyance of the sites was approved and executed by the property owners, the City of Parkland City Commission on July 11, 2012, the School Board on August 7, 2012, and the County on August 28, 2012.</p>	N/A	N/A
<p>(b) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.15 Proportionate Share Mitigation Options EVALUATION OF THE ENTIRE SUBSECTION 8.15 IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.16 Formula for the Calculation of Proportionate Share Mitigation Options (a) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
(b) A Mitigation contribution provided by a Developer to offset the impact of a residential development must be directed by the School Board toward a permanent school capacity project identified in the first three years of the School District's adopted Five-Year DEFP, or as appropriate, scheduled as a new project in the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP. If the School Board accepts proportionate share mitigation based on the latter, the Board shall amend the adopted Five-Year DEFP to include the proportionate share amount or value of the mitigation. Capacity projects identified within the first three (3) years of the Five-Year Capital Facility Plan shall be considered as committed in accordance with the pertinent Sections of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	As necessary, information about the elementary and middle school sites offered to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the Bruschi Plat and the Triple "H" Plat was incorporated into the adopted Five-Year DEFP upon execution of the binding agreement that conveyed the school sites to the School Board.	N/A	N/A
(c) If capacity projects are planned in years four (4) or five (5) of the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP within the same CSA as the proposed residential development, and if the School Board agrees, the Developer may pay his proportionate share to advance the improvement into the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP to mitigate the proposed development in accordance with the formula provided herein.	Ongoing	In 2012, no developer proffered proportionate share mitigation.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(d) Guidelines for the expenditure of proportionate share mitigation funds towards permanent capacity identified in the adopted Five-Year DEFP, shall be as follows: 1. The School Board shall utilize monies paid by applicants, to provide needed permanent capacity at those schools identified in the District's development review report as being impacted by the development. 2. If site constraints or other feasibility issues make it impracticable for the School Board to provide the needed permanent capacity at the affected school(s) as delineated above, as feasible, the School Board will make efforts to provide the needed capacity at school(s) located immediately adjacent to the primarily impacted CSA(s) as found in the current Adopted Five-Year DEFP (s), thus relieving overcrowding at the primary identified impacted school(s).	Ongoing	Same as above	N/A	N/A
3. If disbursement of the mitigation funds is not possible as outlined above, the funds will be spent in the applicable school impact fee service area delineated in the adopted BCLDC in a manner that ensures that the impact of the development is still addressed at the primary affected CSA or an adjacent CSA.	Ongoing	Same as above	N/A	N/A
8.17 Appeal Process A Developer or Local Government receiving a SCAD Letter that indicates permanent capacity is not available may implement the applicable process outlined below.				
(a) A Developer adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such determination by written request to the School Board.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2012 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(b) If the School Board rules in favor of the Developer, School District staff shall issue a subsequent SCAD Letter based on the decision of the School Board. If the School Board does not rule in favor of the Developer or upholds the decision of District staff, the Developer may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2012 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) A Developer adversely impacted by a non-acceptance of proposed proportionate share mitigation made as a part of the PSC process may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	In 2012, no proportionate share mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(d) A Developer adversely impacted by a Local Government decision made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such decision using the process identified in the Local Government's regulations for appeal of development orders.	Ongoing	N/A	In 2012, no developer appealed a public school concurrency decision made by Broward County.	In 2012, no developer appealed a public school concurrency decision made by a Municipality.
(e) A Local Government adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may initiate the process outlined in Subsection 10.1(a) of this Amended Agreement. If the issue cannot be resolved, the Local Government may appeal such determination to the School Board. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the decision of the School Board, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If either the School Board or the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2012 were appealed by local governments.	N/A	N/A
(f) If the School Board does not accept proportionate share mitigation proposed by a Local Government, and such decision results in a dispute between the entities, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	In 2012, no local government proposed proportionate share mitigation to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>COLLOCATION AND SHARED USE</p> <p>9.1 - During preparation of the DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, the School Board and local governments are encouraged to collocate school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities.</p>	Ongoing	The School Board, through its staff representative on the SWG, continues to participate in the collocation efforts.	Broward County, through its staff representative on the SWG, continues to participate in the collocation efforts.	Municipalities, through their SWG staff representatives, continue to participate in the collocation efforts.
<p>9.2 - To enable the collocation/shared use of public school facilities with Local Government/civic facilities, the Local Governments shall in January of each year provide to the SWG information on Local Government public/civic facilities planned for inclusion in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities. Upon receipt of the information, the SWG shall forward the information to the School District. Also, the Local Governments shall examine the annually submitted School Board's Five-Year Tentative DEFP provided pursuant to Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement, and include in the written comments back to the School District information regarding the potential public/civic facilities that could be collocated with planned new schools delineated in the Five-Year Tentative DEFP.</p>	January of each year/ongoing	In 2012, the School District did not receive any information via the SWG regarding the new opportunities for co-location of future local government public/civic facilities. This is because the County and Municipalities indicated that there were no new public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.	In 2012, Broward County indicated that there were no new public/civic facilities in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.	In 2012, Municipalities indicated that there were no new public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.
<p>This requirement shall not prevent the Local Government from providing information on collocation to the SWG throughout the calendar year. Information provided to the SWG and School District shall at the minimum include the planned type of public facility, acreage and location/parcel map. Information provided shall be in hard copy and electronic copy. Upon receiving such information, the School District shall organize meetings with the subject Local Government(s) to further pursue and work towards the collocation of the facilities. The entities shall notify the SWG of their efforts toward collocation of the subject facilities. As part of efforts toward the collocation of such facilities in Broward County, the SWG shall include in all of its meeting agendas, an agenda item relating to the provision information regarding collocation as stated herein. Subsequently, the SWG shall in its report to the Oversight Committee, advise the Committee of ongoing efforts toward collocation, including information on certificates of occupancy to the School Board.</p>		Same as above	Same as above	Same as above

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2012**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
9.3 - Separate legal agreement to address each collocated facility.	As necessary	The School Board has three master recreation lease agreements (MRL) with Broward County and nineteen (19) with municipalities. It also has reciprocal use agreements with seventeen (17) municipalities.	Broward County has three (including one with the Sheriff's Department) MRL Agreements with the School Board.	Nineteen (19) municipalities have MRL Agreements with the School Board. Also, seventeen (17) municipalities have reciprocal use agreements with the School Board.
RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES				
10.1 - Dispute Resolution	As necessary	In 2012, the School Board did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2012, Broward County did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2012, no Municipality invoked nor was involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.
OVERSIGHT PROCESS				
11.1 - The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to each appoint five representatives to the Oversight Committee.	Immediately	At a School Board meeting on December 18, 2012, the School Board appointed one and reappointed three of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.	In April 2012, Broward County reappointed one of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.	In March 2012, the Broward League of Cities reappointed one of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.
11.2 - Municipalities to appoint their five representatives to the Oversight Committee through a mutually agreeable process.	Immediately	N/A	N/A	The Municipalities continue to appoint all five Municipal representatives to the Committee through the Broward League of Cities.
11.3 - THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
SPECIAL PROVISIONS				
12.1 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM				
13.1 - This Amended Agreement shall become effective upon the signatures of the School Board, the County and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the Municipalities which include at least fifty percent (50%) of the population within Broward County. This Amended Agreement may be cancelled by mutual agreement of the School Board, the County and the respective Municipalities, unless otherwise cancelled as provided or allowed by law.	Prior to December 31, 2008, and Dates for Proposed Amendments	In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. The School Board approved the Agreement on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Broward County approved the Second Amended ILA, and the approval date is depicted in Attachment "D".	Initially, 22 Municipalities approved the Second Amended ILA in 2010. Subsequently, the Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea approved the Agreement. Also, the dates the Municipalities adopted the Second Amended ILA are depicted in Attachment "D".
AMENDMENT PROCEDURES				
14.1 Process to Amend the Interlocal Agreement - NOT NECESSARY TO DEPICT PROCESS IN THIS REPORT	Ongoing	In 2012, the School Board did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended Interlocal Agreement.	In 2012, Broward County did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended ILA.	In 2012, the Municipalities did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended ILA.
MISCELLANEOUS				
15 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution

**THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
2012 STAFF WORKING GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET**

ATTACHMENT "A"

Local Government/Agency	3/1/2012 Meeting	6/7/2012 Meeting	9/6/2012 Meeting	12/6/2012 Meeting
Coconut Creek		X	X	X
Cooper City	X	X		X
Coral Springs		X	X	X
Dania Beach	X	X	X	
Davie	X		X	X
Deerfield Beach	X		X	X
Fort Lauderdale	X			
Hallandale Beach	X	X	X	X
Hollywood				
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea				
Lauderdale Lakes				
Lauderhill				
Margate	X	X	X	X
Miramar	X	X	X	X
North Lauderdale	X	X	X	X
Oakland Park	X	X	X	X
Parkland	X		X	X
Pembroke Park	X	X	X	X
Pembroke Pines	X		X	X
Plantation	X	X	X	X
Pompano Beach		X		X
Southwest Ranches	X	X		X
Sunrise	X	X	X	X
Tamarac	X		X	X
West Park	X	X		X
Weston	X	X		X
Wilton Manors	X			
Broward County	X	X	X	X
Broward County Planning Council*	X	X	X	
Broward County Public Schools*	X	X	X	X
South Florida Regional Planning Council*			X	

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Portfolio Management and Services Department

Did not attend any meetings in 2012

* Governmental Agency

x Denotes attendance by Signatory Representative

**LIST DEPICTING ACTION BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT
REGARDING INCLUSION OF SCHOOL BOARD REPRESENTATIVE
ON LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY**

Number	City	Action Taken	Date Action Taken
1	Coconut Creek	X	7/8/05
2	Cooper City	X	10/8/03
3	Coral Springs	X	12/9/03
4	Dania Beach	X	10/26/04
5	Davie	X	10/8/03
6	Deerfield Beach	X	9/6/05
7	Fort Lauderdale	X	7/6/05
8	Hallandale Beach	X	10/16/06
9	Hollywood	X	11/5/03
10	Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	X	8/19/09
11	Lauderdale Lakes	X	10/11/05
12	Lauderhill	X	9/29/03
13	Margate	X	8/17/05
14	Miramar	X	3/3/04
15	North Lauderdale	X	12/2/03
16	Oakland Park	X	2/16/05
17	Parkland	X	4/7/04
18	Pembroke Park	X	9/24/08
19	Pembroke Pines	X	11/5/03
20	Plantation	X	11/12/03
21	Pompano Beach	X	1/24/06
22	Southwest Ranches	X	6/27/07
23	Sunrise	X	1/13/04
24	Tamarac	X	1/26/05
25	West Park	X	5/17/08
26	Weston	X	2/7/05
27	Wilton Manors	X	2/11/03
28	Broward County	X	8/5/03

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Portfolio Management and Services Department

X Denotes that Local Government took formal action to include representative on the local planning agency

APPROVAL/EFFECTIVE DATES REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING

Local Governments/Entity	Second Amended ILA Approval Date	* Effective Date For Comprehensive Plan Amendment	**Land Development Code/Policy Adoption Date
Coconut Creek	4/22/2010	1/12/2012	4/26/2012
Cooper City	3/9/2010	TBD	TBD
Coral Springs	6/1/2010	1/4/2011	9/2/2008
Dania Beach	4/14/2010	11/8/2011	5/8/2012
Davie	4/21/2010	6/11/2011	8/17/2011
Deerfield Beach	4/20/2010	2/12/2011	N/A
Fort Lauderdale	Deferred indefinitely	TBD	TBD
Hallandale Beach	5/5/2010	10/17/2012	Updated concurrently
Hollywood		TBD	TBD
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	9/27/2010	3/22/2011	Ongoing
Lauderdale Lakes		TBD	TBD
Lauderhill	4/26/2010	N/A	9/14/2011
Margate	4/7/2010	2/16/2011	Automatic by reference
Miramar	6/2/2010	7/1/2011	TBD
North Lauderdale	4/27/2010	7/1/2011	TBD
Oakland Park		3/18/2011	TBD
Parkland	2/17/2010	City approved 4/21/11, transmitted to DCA 5/3/11	Automatic by reference
Pembroke Park	3/10/2010	2/10/2011	5/14/2011
Pembroke Pines	4/21/2010	10/1/2012	Automatic by reference
Plantation	4/7/2010	2/6/2011	2/13/2013
Pompano Beach	4/13/2010	5/10/2011	Adopted Concurrently with Plan Amendment
Southwest Ranches	5/20/2010	9/20/2011	9/20/2011
Sunrise	3/23/2010	6/14/2011	5/10/2011
Tamarac	5/26/2010	7/30/2012	7/30/2012
West Park	3/17/2010	12/31/2010	TBD
Weston	3/15/2010	12/28/2010	6/18/2012
Wilton Manors	5/11/2010	3/15/2011	8/12/2008
Broward County	2/23/2010	3/27/2012	TBD
Broward County School Board	***3/23/2010	N/A	11/9/2010

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Portfolio Management and Services Department

N/A Not Applicable

TBD - To Be Determined

Municipality denied or did not take formal action on Second Amended ILA

* Comprehensive Plan Amendment which includes the Capital Improvement Element

** Per Local Government/School Board

*** Reflects date School Board took action to address correction to the initially approved (2/2/10) Second Amended ILA

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S),
PLATS AND SITE PLANS**

Attachment E-1

2012

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS			
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
1st Quarter	005-MP-11	1003-2011	1/11/2012	Parkland Golf & Country Club Pod 13, 20 SF, approved 1/10/2012							
	023-MP-10	962-2011	1/11/2012	Atlantech Plaza, 500 Midrise, approved 1/10/2012							
	019-MP-05	1058-2011	1/11/2012	Emerald Creek Townhomes, 66 TH, approved 1/10/2012							
	090-MP-89	1057-2011	1/11/2012	Broward County Courthouse Phase II (New River Village, Phase III) from 566 HR to 776 HR (566 existing), approved 1/10/2012							
	016-MP-10	940-2010	1/31/2012	Foxcroft Homes, 300 SF, approved 1-31-2012							
	007-MP-11	1016-2011	2/14/2012	Parkland Golf & Country Club Pod 11 Replat, 29 SF, approved 2/14/2012							
	011-MP-11	1028-2011	2/14/2012	Parkland Golf & Country Club Pod 9 Replat, 112 SF, approved 2/14/2012							
	012-MP-11	1029-2011	2/14/2012	Parkland Golf & Country Club Pod 19 Replat, 10 SF, approved 2/14/2012							
	013-MP-11	1027-2011	2/14/2012	Parkland Golf & Country Club Pod 20 Replat, 59 SF, approved 2/14/2012							
	037-MP-08	501-2008	2/28/2012	Bel Lago Villas Plat, 270 GA, approved 2/28/2012							
	015-MP-11	1043-2011	2/28/2012	Orchid Grove Replat I, 30 TH, approved 2/28/2012							
	016-MP-11	1044-2011	2/28/2012	Orchid Grove Replat II, 43 TH, approved 2/28/2012							
	014-MP-11	980-2011	3/20/2012	Broadstone Cypress Hammocks, 396 GA, approved 3/20/2012							
	008-MP-11	802-2009	3/20/2012	Triple H Ranch Plat, 570 SF(plus 1 Elementary and 1 Middle School), approved 3-20-2012							
2nd Quarter	081-MP-07	424-2008	4/24/2012	College Crossings, 400 GA + non-resid., approved 4/24/2012							
	001-UP-11	844-2010	5/9/2012	Bruschi Property Plat, 458 SF, approved 5/8/2012							
	005-UP-03	529-2008	5/22/2012	Monterra Plat, from 797 SF, 148 TH & 700 GA to 806 SF, 294 TH, 252 GA & 300 low income GA, approved 5/22/2012							
	013-MP-08	990-2011	6/26/2012	Sabal Palm, from 208 SF and 288 TH to 434 SF, approved 6/26/2012							
	008-MP-97	1154-2012	6/26/2012	Meadow Pines, from 425 TH and 425 GA to 676 TH and 98 GA, approved 6/26/2012							
	013-MP-08	347-2008	6/26/2012	Pines at Crystal Lake, 93 TH, approved 6/26/2012							

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S),
PLATS AND SITE PLANS**

Attachment E-1

2012

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS			
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
3rd Quarter	002-MP-12	1105-2012	8/21/2012	DeBuys Plat, 526 SF, 250 TH, approved 8/21/2012							
	006-MP-12	1118-2012	8/21/2012	Oak Landings, 16TH, approved 8/21/2012							
	042-MP-00	1158-2012	8/21/2012	Miramar Town Center, From 150 TH, 354 HR to 134 TH, 370 MR, approved 8/21/2012							
	123-MP-83	1113-2012	8/21/2012	Sunburst Properties, 64 TH, approved 8/21/2012							
	098-MP-05	336-2008	8/21/2012	Minto Federal, 418 MR, approved 8/21/2012							
	069-MP-06	1127-2012	8/21/2012	Sheridan Village, from 319 TH to 300 GA, approved 8/21/2012							
	017-MP-06	1052-2011	9/11/2012	Vintage Park, 249 MR, approved 9/11/2012							
	031-MP-89	1075-2011	9/27/2012	Northwest Park of Commerce (ParcelB), 350 GA, approved 9/27/2012							
4th Quarter	019-MP-11	1181-2012	10/23/2012	Northwest Gardens IV Plat, 128 GA & 4 Duplex, approved 10/23/2012							

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2012

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek	501-2008	Bel Lago SP, 270 GA	8/29/2012	3/14/2012	Expires 9/14/2013. No vesting verification obtained.		Casa Palma Land Use /Rezoning/Site Plan, 350 GA	8/29/2012	Adopted 5/24/2012				2/5/2013		No Activity			2/5/2013		No Activity
						501-2008	Bel Lago Rezoning, 270 GA	8/29/2012	Approved 4/26/2012											
Cooper City			4/16/2012		No Activity	529-2008	Jefferson Apartments, SP 2-1-12, 252 GA	6/27/2012	6/19/2012	Expires 12/19/2013			10/17/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Coral Springs			4/5/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity			10/19/2012		No Activity			1/23/2013		No Activity
Dania Beach	1131-2012	Skyland Plaza, SP-40-11, 8 GA	4/2/2012	3/27/12,	Expires 3/27/13, approval by local government without SCAD being obtained			7/12/2012		No Activity	741-2009	San Soucey(Hobal Plat), #SP-34-11, 181 HR	10/8/2012	8/28/2012	No vesting verification obtained			1/17/2013		No Activity
	456-2008	Ippolito Plat & Site Plan, SP-05-06, 34 TH	4/2/2012		Extension granted 3/22/11, expires 3/22/13															
	796-2009	San Marco, SP-30-09, 20 GA	4/2/2012		Expires 10/26/2012, no notification of approval provided to School District															
Town of Davie			4/4/2012		No Activity	1116-2012	Stonebridge, ZB 12-36, from 17 SF to 22 SF	7/9/2012	6/13/2012	Reviewed as SP			12/3/2012		No Activity			1/11/2013		No Activity
						424-2008	Elan at College Crossing Site Plan, MSP 12-55, 376 GA	7/9/2012	5/23/2012	Expires 11/23/2013. Reviewed as Plat, no vesting verification obtained.										
Deerfield Beach			3/28/2012		No Activity			6/28/2012		No Activity			10/1/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			5/23/2012		No Activity	1061-2011	New River Yacht Club, #55R11, 251 HR	10/1/2012	5/15/2012	Approval expiration 180 days										
						336-2008	Henry Square, #38R12, 382 MR	10/1/2012	6/14/2012	Approval expiration 180 days										
Hallandale Beach	580-2008	Park Central, #32-07-DB, 372 MR	3/28/2012		Extension granted 1/3/12, Expiration 10/23/2013	943-2010	Hallandale Townhomes Site Plan, #07-12-DB, 12 TH	7/9/2012	4/18/2012	Expires 10/18/2013			3/15/2013		No Activity	998-2011	446 LLC, Omega Land Development SP, #30-12-DR, 1 SF	3/15/2013	9/27/2012	
						1035-2011	Beachwalk Site Plan, #47-11-DB, 84 HR	7/9/2012	6/20/2012	Expires 12/20/2013										
						1126-2012	Dika SFH Site Plan, #20-12-DR, 1 SF	7/9/2012	3/28/2012	Expires 9/28/2013										
						1079-2011	431 Alamanda Site Plan, #11-12-DR, 1 SF	7/9/2012	4/17/2012	Expires 10/17/2013										

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2012

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Hallandale Beach						1144-2012	Zeigler Residence Site Plan, #20-12-DR, 1 SF	7/9/2012	5/25/2012	Expires 11/25/2013										
Hollywood			4/18/2012		No Activity			3/4/2013		No Activity	1211-2012	Sky Harbor SP, #12-DPV-48, 8 MR	3/4/2013	9/13/2012	Expiration, 9/13/2014		Hollywood Station, #04-DPV-44d, 250 HR	1/29/2013	10/15/2012, Expires 10/15/2014	Violation of Section 8.2b(1) of the Second Amended ILA. Site Plan approval was granted without a Public School Conc. determination.
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			3/26/2012		No Activity			6/27/2012		No Activity		239 Commercial Blvd., #2012-SP-01, 1 SF	10/5/2012	8/21/2012	Expiration: 12/5/12 permit; 9/28/14 - School District did not review this Site Plan			1/22/2013	No Activity	
Lauderdale Lakes			4/26/2012		No Activity			9/17/2012		No Activity			1/31/2013		No Activity			1/31/2013	No Activity	
Lauderhill			3/28/2012		No Activity			7/10/2012		No Activity			3/11/2013		No Activity			3/11/2013	No Activity	
Margate			4/4/2012		No Activity			7/31/2012		No Activity			10/15/2012		No Activity					
Miramar	681-2009	Waterview Site Plan, 300 SF	3/28/2012	Approved 1/26/2011, extended to 5/10/2016	No vesting verification obtained for site plan	1158-2012	Miramar Town Center, Block 3, 12-SP-09, 117 MR	8/1/2012	6/6/2012	No Vesting Verification issued for Site Plan		AMLI Miramar Park SP, #12-SP-05, 300 MR	12/10/2012	7/30/2012	Vesting verification not obtained for this SP	1220-2012	Calabria SP, 12-SP-14, 76 TH	2/26/2013	11/7/2012	
							Miramar Town Center, Block 4A, #12-SP-07, 236 MR	8/1/2012	6/6/2012	No Vesting Verification issued for Site Plan										
North Lauderdale			4/9/2012		No Activity			7/2/2012		No Activity			10/17/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013	No Activity	
Oakland Park			3/28/2012		No Activity			6/27/2012		No Activity			10/5/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013	No Activity	
Parkland		Debuys Site Plan, #03-SP-11, 526 SF Debuys Rezoning, #03-Z-11, 526 SF, 250 TH	4/19/2012	Approved 1/4/2012	Expires 4/4/2012			3/12/2013		No Activity			3/12/2013		No Activity	1182-2012	Parkland Reserve Land Use, #01-LUPA-12, 33 SF	1/17/2013	11-7-2012, 1st reading	
Town of Pembroke Park			4/9/2012		No Activity			7/12/2012		No Activity			1/3/2013		No Activity					
Pembroke Pines	724-2009	Estates at Mayfair	3/27/2012	Approved 4/18/12	P & Z considered zoning change on 3/8/12, however, it did not result in an increase in res. units. Plat note change was reviewed by the District & SCAD report issued 3/9/12.	1127-2012	Sheridan Village	6/26/2012	6/20/2012	Adopted zoning change 6/20/12 from 12 TH to R-4 Apartments, assigned 25 reserve units			10/1/2012		No Activity			1/2/2013	No Activity	
						724-2009	Estates at Mayfair, SP 2012-07	6/26/2012	4/18/2012	Approved Site Plan for 110 SF										

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2012

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Plantation			7/24/2012		No Activity	1113-2012	Riverwalk, PP12-0029, 64 TH	7/24/2012	5/9/2012	Expires 5/9/2013			11/1/2012		No Activity			2/4/2013		No Activity
Pompano Beach	1052-2011	Shoal Creek Properties Pompano, LLC/Wintage Park, 249 MR	4/16/2012	1/25/2012				7/20/2012		No Activity			11/7/2012		No Activity	1097-2012	Atlantic 3350, #12-12000003, 77 HR	2/22/2013	10/24/2012	
Town of Southwest Ranches			3/14/2013		No Activity	1134-2012	Palazzolo SP, #SWR12-001081, 1 SF	3/14/2013	6/12/2012		1215-2012	6121 Holatee Trail SP, Moyers, #SWR12-001295, 1 SF	3/14/2013	9/11/2012		1164-2012	5033 SW 160th Avenue SP, American Solutions SWR12-001296, 1SF	3/14/2013	10/15/2012	
		Landmark Custom Homes SP, #SWR12-001146, 1 SF		3/14/2013		5/23/2012	Records indicate no exempt determination was made.													
		Sanon SP, #SWR12-001192, 1 SF		3/14/2013		6/29/2012	Records indicate no exempt determination was made.													
Sunrise			4/5/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity			10/30/2012		No Activity			1/11/2013		No Activity
Tamarac	990-2011	Sabal Palm Site Plan, #21-SP-11, 255 SF	4/10/2012	1/25/2012	Expires 1/25/2013 Site Plan never received PSC determination			7/2/2012		No Activity			10/22/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Unincorporated Br.	844-2010	Standard Pacific of Florida Rezoning, #1-Z 11, 458 SF	4/9/2012	2/28/2012				7/19/2012		No Activity			10/22/2012		No Activity			1/28/2013		No Activity
West Park			4/2/2012		No Activity			7/5/2012		No Activity			12/26/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Weston			4/2/2012		No Activity			7/5/2012		No Activity			12/26/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Wilton Manors																				

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED VESTED SITE PLANS

2012

ATTACHMENT F

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			8/29/2012		No Activity			8/29/2012		No Activity			2/5/2013		No Activity			2/5/2013		No Activity
Cooper City			4/16/2012		No Activity			6/27/2012		No Activity			10/17/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Coral Springs			4/5/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity			10/19/2012		No Activity			1/23/2013		No Activity
Dania Beach			4/2/2012		No Activity			7/12/2012		No Activity			10/8/2012		No Activity			1/17/2013		No Activity
Town of Davie			4/4/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity			12/3/2012		No Activity			1/11/2013		No Activity
Deerfield Beach			3/28/2012		No Activity			6/28/2012		No Activity			10/1/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			5/23/2012		No Activity			10/1/2012		No Activity										
Hallandale Beach			3/28/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity			3/15/2013		No Activity			3/15/2013		No Activity
Hollywood			4/18/2012		No Activity			3/4/2013		No Activity			3/4/2013		No Activity			1/29/2013		No Activity
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			3/26/2012		No Activity			6/27/2012		No Activity			10/5/2012		No Activity			1/22/2013		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes			4/26/2012		No Activity			9/17/2012		No Activity			1/31/2013		No Activity			1/31/2013		No Activity
Lauderhill			3/28/2012		No Activity			7/10/2012		No Activity			3/11/2013		No Activity			3/11/2013		No Activity
Margate			4/4/2012		No Activity			7/31/2012		No Activity			10/15/2012		No Activity					
Miramar			3/8/2012		No Activity			8/1/2012		No Activity			12/10/2012		No Activity			2/26/2013		No Activity
North Lauderdale			4/9/2012		No Activity			7/2/2012		No Activity			10/17/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Oakland Park			3/28/2012		No Activity			6/27/2012		No Activity			10/5/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Parkland			4/19/2012		No Activity			3/12/2013		No Activity			3/12/2013		No Activity			1/17/2013		No Activity
Town of Pembroke Park			4/9/2012		No Activity			7/12/2012		No Activity			1/3/2013		No Activity					
Pembroke Pines			3/27/2012		No Activity			6/26/2012		No Activity			10/1/2012		No Activity			1/2/2013		No Activity
Plantation			7/24/2012		No Activity			7/24/2012		No Activity			11/1/2012		No Activity			2/4/2013		No Activity
Pompano Beach			4/16/2012		No Activity			7/20/2012		No Activity			11/7/2012		No Activity			2/22/2013		No Activity
Town of Southwest Ranches			3/14/2013		No Activity			3/14/2013		No Activity			3/14/2013		No Activity			3/14/2013		No Activity
Sunrise			4/5/2012		No Activity			7/9/2012		No Activity	615-2008	Sawgrass Lakes, #034-MP, 91, 2,122 TH	10/30/2012	11/5/1991				1/11/2013		No Activity
Tamarac			4/10/2012		No Activity			7/2/2012		No Activity			10/22/2012		No Activity			1/15/2013		No Activity
Unincorporated BC			4/9/2012		No Activity			7/19/2012		No Activity			10/22/2012		No Activity			1/28/2013		No Activity
West Park			4/2/2012		No Activity			7/5/2012		No Activity			12/26/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Weston			4/2/2012		No Activity			7/5/2012		No Activity			12/26/2012		No Activity			1/7/2013		No Activity
Wilton Manors																				

LIST OF COUNTY RESIDENTIAL PLATS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION

2012

No.	SBBC No.	Plat No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date Received Final County Commission Approval	Date District Received Notification from Broward County Re: Final
1	SBBC-1105-2012	002-MP-12	1/19/2012	Parkland	DeBuys Plat	8/21/2012	8/21/2012
2*	SBBC-1050-2011	003-MP-12	1/31/2012	Davie	Palm Ranch Apartments		
3	SBBC-802-2009	008-MP-11	2/7/2012	Parkland	Triple H Ranch Plat	3/20/2012	3/20/2012
4**	SBBC-1117-2012	024-MP-06	2/24/2012	Parkland	Epstein Plat		
5	SBBC-1118-2012	115-MP-05	2/24/2012	Davie	Oak Landings	8/21/2012	8/21/2012
6	SBBC-1039-2011	033-MP-99	2/27/2012	Margate	Newth Plat	3/27/2012	3/28/2012
7	SBBC-424-2008	081-MP-07	2/27/2012	Davie	College Crossing	4/24/2012	4/24/2012
8	SBBC-724-2009	146-MP-81	3/9/2012	Pembroke Pines	Estates at Mayfair, f/k/a Pembroke Lakes	4/3/2012	4/3/2012
9	SBBC-1127-2012	069-MP-06	3/9/2012	Pembroke Pines	Sheridan Village		
10	SBBC-529-2008	005-UP-03	4/23/2012	Cooper City	Monterra Plat	5/22/2012	5/22/2012
11	SBBC-990-2011	012-MP-07	5/3/2012	Tamarac	Sabal Palm	6/26/2012	6/26/2012
12**	SBBC-1154-2012	008-MP-97	5/24/2012	Pembroke Pines	Meadows Pines Plat	6/26/2012	6/26/2012
13	SBBC-347-2008	013-MP-08	5/31/2012	Pompano Beach	Pines at Crystal Lake	6/26/2012	6/26/2012
14**	SBBC-741-2009	010-MP-09	5/31/2012	Dania Beach	Hobal Plat		
15	SBBC-1160-2012	014-MP-12	6/7/2012	Davie	Kalpana Estates		
16	SBBC-1158-2012	042-MP-00	6/11/2012	Miramar	Miramar Town Center	6/21/2012	6/21/2012
17	SBBC-1152-2012	016-MP-12	6/13/2012	Pompano Beach	Ben Turner Ridge Apartments	1/8/2013	1/8/2013
18	SBBC-1052-2011	017-MP-06	6/19/2012	Pompano Beach	Vintage Park Apartments	9/11/2012	9/11/2012
19	SBBC-1179-2012	056-MP-00	7/6/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Beta Plat	3/19/2013	3/20/2013
20*	SBBC-1183-2012	119-MP-05	7/16/2012	Hollywood	Tuscany Estates		
21*	SBBC-616-2008	053-MP-94	7/16/2012	Sunrise	Greaton No. 2 Plat		
22	SBBC-1075-2011	031-MP-89	7/17/2012	Coconut Creek	Northwest Park of Commerce Plat	9/27/2012	9/27/2012
23	SBBC-1181-2012	019-MP-11	7/30/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens IV Plat	10/23/2012	10/23/2012
24	SBBC-1182-2012	020-MP-12	8/2/2012	Parkland	Parkland Village Replat No. 2		
25	SBBC-1198-2012	021-MP-12	8/7/2012	Davie	Taralyne Plat		
26**	SBBC-1228-2012	118-MP-05	10/24/2012	Pompano Beach	Club @ Palm Aire		
27	SBBC-1169-2012	070-MP-07	10/31/2012	Pompano Beach	Pompano Creek/Jefferson Lighthouse		
28	SBBC-940-2010	016-MP-10	11/5/2012	Miramar	Foxcroft Homes		
29	SBBC-1268-2012	032-MP-03	12/4/2012	Hollywood	Lincoln Street Homes	2/26/2013	2/26/2013
30**	SBBC-1269-2012	027-MP-12	12/12/2012	Fort Lauderdale	New Mount Olive Baptist Church		
31	SBBC-1217-2012	125-MP-90	12/12/2012	Fort Lauderdale	French Village	3/12/2013	3/12/2013

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Portfolio Management and Services Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

* Expired

** Determined exempt by SBBC

LIST OF RESIDENTIAL SITE PLANS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION

2012

No.	SBBC No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date Received Final Approval From Local Governing Body	Date District Received Notification from Local Government Re: Final Approval
1	SBBC-1014-2011	1/3/2012	Pembroke Pines	Pembroke Pines City Center Mill Creek Resid. Trust	11/21/2011	12/28/2011
2**	SBBC-1097-2012	1/11/2012	Pompano Beach	Atlantic 3350		
3**	SBBC-1106-2012	1/20/2012	Hallandale Beach	648 Oleander - Zeigler Residence		
4	SBBC-1116-2012	2/23/2012	Davie	Stonebridge	6/13/2012	7/9/2012
5**	SBBC-1126-2012	3/7/2012	Hallandale Beach	Lot 19 NE 2nd Street		
6**	SBBC-1125-2012	3/8/2012	Southwest Ranches	Francisco Flores Residence		
7	SBBC-943-2010	3/15/2012	Hallandale Beach	Hallandale Beach Townhomes	4/18/2012	7/6/2012
8**	SBBC-1134-2012	3/26/2012	Southwest Ranches	Palazzolo Residence		
9	SBBC-1113-2012	3/27/2012	Plantation	Riverwalk at Lago Mar	5/9/2012	7/24/2012
10	SBBC-1136-2012	4/13/2012	Oakland Park	Villa Verona Townhomes	8/1/2012	1/8/2013
11	SBBC-1141-2012	4/23/2012	Fort Lauderdale	One20fourth		
12	SBBC-529-2008	4/24/2012	Cooper City	Jefferson at Monterra	5/22/2012	5/22/2012
13	SBBC-1143-2012	5/15/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Flagler Village		
14**	SBBC-1153-2012	5/25/2012	Fort Lauderdale	151 Isle of Venice		
15	SBBC-336-2008	6/1/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Minto Federal a/k/a Henry Square	6/14/2012	10/1/2012
16	SBBC-613-2008	6/8/2012	Oakland Park	Urban Village @ 5th Avenue		
17**	SBBC-1164-2012	6/13/2012	Southwest Ranches	5033 SW 160th Avenue Residence		
18	SBBC-1169-2012	6/25/2012	Pompano Beach	Pompano Creek		
19	SBBC-1168-2012	6/25/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Marina Lofts		
20**	SBBC-1176-2012	7/12/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Grand Birch Condominiums		
21	SBBC-1174-2012	7/12/2012	Fort Lauderdale	The Pearl at Flagler Village		
22	SBBC-1018-2011	8/1/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens IV		
23	SBBC-1200-2012	8/2/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens II - Mango Manor	9/25/2012	3/22/2013
24	SBBC-1202-2012	8/8/2012	Fort Lauderdale	8th Avenue Residences		
25**	SBBC-1163-2012	8/13/2012	Pompano Beach	St. Joseph Haitian Catholic Mission		
26**	SBBC-1208-2012	8/16/2012	Hallandale Beach	513 Palm Drive		
27	SBBC-1207-2012	9/5/2012	Fort Lauderdale	Paseo Del Mar		
28	SBBC-1210-2012	9/10/2012	Pompano Beach	Atlantic Villas		
29**	SBBC-2011-2012	9/10/2012	Hollywood	Sky Harbor Condominium		
30**	SBBC-1215-2012	10/2/2012	Southwest Ranches	6121 Holatee Trail		
31**	SBBC-1229-2012	10/16/2012	Fort Lauderdale	1110 Seminole Drive		
32	SBBC-1220-2012	10/22/2012	Miramar	Calabria	11/7/2012	2/25/2013
33	SBBC-1239S-2012	11/29/2012	Pompano Beach	KOI		
34	SBBC-1265-2012	11/30/2012	Pompano Beach	Santa Barbara Villas		
35	SBBC-1267-2012	12/3/2012	Hallandale Beach	Aghion Residence		
36**	SBBC-1272-2012	12/13/2012	Hallandale Beach	Leon Residence		
37**	SBBC-1275-2012	12/13/2012	Pompano Beach	USA Storage fka Pompano Beach Commerce Centre		
38**	SBBC-1274-2012	12/13/2012	Fort Lauderdale	2nd Street Residences		
39	SBBC-1152-2012	12/19/2012	Pompano Beach	Ben Turner Apartments		

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Portfolio Management and Services Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

* Expired

** Determined exempt by SBBC